

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/711,844	10/08/2004	Brent A. Anderson	BUR920040173US1	5843	
46170 75	590 08/31/2006		EXAMINER		
•	CURTIS & CHRISTOFF	ERDEM, FAZLI			
11491 SUNSET RESTON, VA	Γ HILLS ROAD, SUITE 34 20190	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
, ··			2826		
			DATE MAILED: 08/31/2006	DATE MAILED: 08/31/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Th	
5	
Server .	

· *		Applicat	ion No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/711,8	144	ANDERSON ET	ANDERSON ET AL.			
		Examine	: r	Art Unit	1			
		Fazli Erd	em	2826				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communic or Reply	ation appears on th	e cover sheet 1	with the correspondence a	ddress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAINS of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this community period for reply is specified above, the maximum statute to reply within the set or extended period for reply we reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ALING DATE OF TO f 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no ex- nication. utory period will apply and w rill, by statute, cause the ap	HIS COMMUN vent, however, may a will expire SIX (6) MC plication to become	IICATION. a reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <i>07 July 2006</i> .						
	·	o)⊠ This action is i	non-final.					
· —		•		atters, prosecution as to th	e merits is			
, <u> </u>	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
	Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the ap	nolication						
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>14-18</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
)⊠ Claim(s)is/arc allowed.)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8 and 10-12</u> is/are rejected.							
	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-6 and 76-72</u> is/are rejected. ☑ Claim(s) <u>9</u> is/are objected to.							
_	Claim(s) are subject to restricti	on and/or election i	requirement					
<u>ا</u> رت	oralin(s) are subject to restrict	on and/or election	equirement.					
Applicati	on Papers							
9)	The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are:	a) accepted or b) ☐ objected to	o by the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objecti	ion to the drawing(s)	be held in abeya	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	he correction is requi	red if the drawin	g(s) is objected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to I			•				
	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
_	Acknowledgment is made of a claim fo	or foreign priority un	nder 35 II S C	8 119(a) (d) or (f)				
_	Acknowledgifient is made of a claim to ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	n foreign phonty un	del 33 U.S.C.	3 119(a)-(u) 01 (1).				
aд		acuments have bee	an raceivad					
				Application No				
	2. Certified copies of the priority de							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of			n received in this Nationa	i Stage			
4.0	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
" 5	* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment	• •		_					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)								
2) Light Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date B) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-152)								
	No(s)/Mail Date <u>1/18, 11/09, 10/8.</u>	10130100)	6) Other: _	, ,	~ 10 <i>L</i>)			
	adamark Office							

Art Unit: 2826

DETAILED ACTION

Allowable Subject Matter

1, Claim 9 objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 1. Claims 1 and 2 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamada et al. (6,835,981) in view of Furukawa et al. (6,555,891).

Regarding Claims 1 and 2, Yamada et al. disclose a semiconductor chip which combines bulk and SOI regions and separates same with plural isolation regions where in Fig. 2 it is disclosed a semiconductor on insulator region on the right side with buried dielectric layer 22, a bulk semiconductor region on the left adjacent to the SOI region, a trench 47 disposed between the SOI region and bulk region. Yamada et al. fail to disclose the trench to be filled with epitaxial semiconductor material and the required sidewalls of the trench. However, Furukawa et al. disclose an SOI hybrid structure with selective epitaxial growth of silicon where the trench 20 is filled with epitaxial semiconductor and the required sidewalls 30.

It would have been obvious to one of having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include the required trench filling in Yamada et al. as taught

by Furukawa et al. in order to have a semiconductor device with increased performance.

2. Claims 3-8 and 10-12 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamada et al. (6,835,981) in view of Furukawa et al. (6,555,891) further in view of Yamada et al. (6,906,384)

Regarding Claims 3-8 and 10-12, Yamada et al. ('981) disclose a semiconductor chip which combines bulk and SOI regions and separates same with plural isolation regions where in Fig. 2 it is disclosed a semiconductor on insulator region on the right side with buried dielectric layer 22, a bulk semiconductor region on the left adjacent to the SOI region, a trench 47 disposed between the SOI region and bulk region. Yamada et al. fail to disclose the trench to be filled with epitaxial semiconductor material with the required sidewalls of the trench and the required junction/doping configuration.

However, Furukawa et al. disclose an SOI hybrid structure with selective epitaxial growth of silicon where the trench 20 is filled with epitaxial semiconductor and the required sidewalls 30. Furthermore, Yamada et al. ('384) disclose a semiconductor device having one of patterned SOI and SON region where in Figs, 2A, 3A and 4C the required junction/doping configuration is disclosed.

It would have been obvious to one of having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include the required trench filling and the required Art Unit: 2826

junction/doping configuration in Yamada et al. as taught by Furukawa et al. and Yamada et al. ('384) in order to have a semiconductor device with increased performance.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Fazli Erdem whose telephone number is (571) 272-1914. The examiner can normally be reached on M - F 8:00 - 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nathan Flynn can be reached on (571) 272-1915. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

FE

August 24, 2006